# Sequence Alignment \& Computational Thinking Michael Schatz 

Bioinformatics Lecture 2
Undergraduate Research Program 201I


## Recap

- Sequence assays used for many important and interesting ways
- Variation Discovery: How do the reads map to the reference?
- Expression Analysis: How many reads map to the reference?
- Binding Analysis:Where do the reads map to the reference?
- Plus many others!
- There is a galaxy of tools available to help analyze these data
- Galaxy: point and click analysis
- Bowtie: Read Mapping
- Tophat: Spliced read mapping
- Cufflinks:Transcript Assembly
- Cuffdiff: Differential Expression
- MACS: Peak Analysis
- ...
- Questions for today
- How does Bowtie map a billion reads to the human genome?
- How can you think computationally about a problem?


## Computational Biology

"Computer science is no more about computers than astronomy is about telescopes."
Edsger Dijkstra

- Computer Science $=$ Science of Computation
- Solving problems, designing \& building systems
- Computers are very, very dumb, but we can instruct them
- Build complex systems out of simple components
- They will perfectly execute instructions forever
- CompBio = Thinking Computationally about Biology
- Processing: Make more powerful instruments, analyze results
- Designing \& Understanding: protocols, procedures, systems
- Sequence Alignment
I. Brute Force

2. Suffix Arrays
3. Inexact Alignment
4. Bowtie

- Computational Thinking
I. Algorithm

2. Data structure
3. Computational Analysis
4. Computational Modeling

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA in the human genome?
- Strategy I: Brute Force

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
| G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

No match at offset I

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA in the human genome?
- Strategy I: Brute Force

| I | $\mathbf{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match at offset 2

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA in the human genome?
- Strategy I: Brute Force

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

No match at offset $3 .$. .

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA in the human genome?
- Strategy I: Brute Force

| I | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |

No match at offset 9 <- Checking each possible position takes time

## Brute Force Analysis



- Brute Force:
- At every possible offset in the genome:
- Do all of the characters of the query match?
- Analysis
- Simple, easy to understand
- Genome length = $n$
- Query length =m
- Comparisons: $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{I}) * \mathrm{~m}$
- Overall runtime: $O(n m)$
[How long would it take if we double the genome size, read length?] [How long would it take if we double both?]


## Expected Occurrences

The expected number of occurrences (e-value) of a given sequence in a genome depends on the length of the genome and inversely on the length of the sequence

- I in 4 bases are $G, I$ in 16 positions are GA, $I$ in 64 positions are GAT, ...
- I in 16,384 should be GATTACA
- $E=n /\left(4^{m}\right) \quad$ [I83,105 expected occurrences]
[How long do the reads need to be for a significant match?]



## Brute Force Reflections

Why check every position?

- GATTACA can't possibly start at position I5
[WHY?]

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\mathbf{I I}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |

- Improve runtime to $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m})$
$[3 B+7]$
- If we double both, it just takes twice as long
- Knuth-Morris-Pratt, 1977
- Boyer-Moyer, 1977, I99I
- For one-off scans, this is the best we can do (optimal performance)
- We have to read every character of the genome, and every character of the query
- For short queries, runtime is dominated by the length of the genome


## Suffix Arrays: Searching the Phone Book

- What if we need to check many queries?
- We don't need to check every page of the phone book to find 'Schatz'
- Sorting alphabetically lets us immediately skip $96 \%(25 / 26)$ of the book without any loss in accuracy
- Sorting the genome: Suffix Array (Manber \& Myers, 1991)
- Sort every suffix of the genome


Split into n suffixes


Sort suffixes alphabetically
[Challenge Question: How else could we split the genome?]

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo = I; Hi = I5;

| Lo | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC... | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC... | 10 |
|  | 6 | C... | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC... | 7 |
|  | 8 | CC... | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATtACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC... | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hi}}$ | 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo = I; Hi = I5; Mid = $(I+I 5) / 2=8$
- Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC

| Lo$\#$ Sequence Pos <br> 1 ACAGATTACC $\ldots$ 6 <br> 2 ACC... 13 <br> 3 AGATTACC $\ldots$ 8 <br> 4 ATTACAGATTACC... 3 <br> 5 ATTACC... 10 <br> 6 C... 15 <br> 7 CAGATTACC $\ldots$ 7 <br> 8 CC... 14 <br> 9 GATTACAGATTACC... 2 <br> 10 GATTACC $\ldots$ 9 <br> 11 TACAGATTACC $\ldots$ 5 <br> 12 TACC... 12 <br> 13 TGATTACAGATTACC... 1 <br> 14 TTACAGATTACC $\ldots$ 4 <br> 15 TTACC $\ldots$ 11 |
| :--- |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo = I; Hi = I5; Mid = $(I+I 5) / 2=8$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I

| Lo$\#$ Sequence Pos <br> 1 ACAGATTACC $\ldots$ 6 <br> 2 ACC... 13 <br> 3 AGATTACC $\ldots$ 8 <br> 4 ATTACAGATTACC... 3 <br> 5 ATTACC... 10 <br> 6 C... 15 <br> 7 CAGATTACC $\ldots$ 7 <br> 8 CC... 14 <br> 9 GATTACAGATTACC... 2 <br> 10 GATTACC $\ldots$ 9 <br> 11 TACAGATTACC $\ldots$ 5 <br> 12 TACC... 12 <br> 13 TGATTACAGATTACC... 1 <br> 14 TTACAGATTACC $\ldots$ 4 <br> 15 TTACC $\ldots$ 11 |
| :--- |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo $=1 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \operatorname{Mid}=(I+I 5) / 2=8$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5$;

|  | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ACAGATTACC. | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC. | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC. | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC... | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC... | 10 |
|  | 6 | C... | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC.. | 7 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Lo }}$ | 8 | CC. | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC... | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hi}}$ | 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo $=1 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \operatorname{Mid}=(I+I 5) / 2=8$
- Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mathrm{I} 5) / 2=12$
- Middle $=$ Suffix[12] = TACC

|  | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | ACAGATTACC.. | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC... | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC... | 10 |
|  | 6 | C. | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC. | 7 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Lo }}$ | 8 | CC.. | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | TACAGATTACC. | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC... | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hi}}$ | 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(1+15) / 2=8$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mid 5) / 2=12$
- Middle = Suffix[I2] = TACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{II}$;

| \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
| 2 | ACC... | 13 |
| 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
| 4 | ATTACAGATTACC. | 3 |
| 5 | ATTACC. | 10 |
| 6 | C... | 15 |
| 7 | CAGATTACC... | 7 |
| 8 | CC... | 14 |
| 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
| 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
| 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
| 12 | TACC... | 12 |
| 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
| 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I} 5) / 2=8$
- Middle = Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mid 5) / 2=12$
- Middle = Suffix[I2] = TACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{II} ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mathrm{II}) / 2=10$
- Middle = Suffix[I0] = GATTACC



## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I} 5) / 2=8$
- Middle = Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+15) / 2=\mathrm{I} 2$
- Middle = Suffix[I2] = TACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{II} ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mathrm{II}) / 2=10$
- Middle = Suffix[I0] = GATTACC
=> Lower:Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=9$;



## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I} 5) / 2=8$
- Middle = Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+15) / 2=12$
- Middle = Suffix[I2] = TACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{II} ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mathrm{II}) / 2=10$
- Middle = Suffix[I0] = GATTACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=9 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+9) / 2=9$
- Middle $=$ Suffix[ 9$]=$ GATTACA... => Match at position 2 !

|  | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC.. | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC. | 10 |
|  | 6 | C. | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC... | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lo } \\ & \text { Hi } \end{aligned}$ | 8 | CC... | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC. | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | I |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
|  | 15 | TTACC. | 11 |

## Binary Search Analysis

- Binary Search

Initialize search range to entire list
mid $=(\mathrm{hi}+\mathrm{lo}) / 2 ;$ middle $=$ suffix[mid]
if query matches middle: done
else if query < middle: pick low range else if query > middle: pick hi range
Repeat until done or empty range

- Analysis
- More complicated method
- How many times do we repeat?
- How many times can it cut the range in half?
- Find smallest $x$ such that: $n /\left(2^{x}\right) \leq 1 ; x=\lg _{2}(n)$
- Total Runtime: $O(m \lg n)$
- More complicated, but much faster!
- Looking up a query loops 32 times instead of 3B
[How long does it take to search 6B or 24B nucleotides?]


## Suffix Array Construction

- How can we store the suffix array?
[How many characters are in all suffixes combined?]

$$
S=1+2+3+\cdots+n=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}=O\left(n^{2}\right) \overline{\overline{\bar{\square}}}
$$

- Hopeless to explicitly store 4.5 billion billion characters
- Instead use implicit representation
- Keep I copy of the genome, and a list of sorted offsets
- Storing 3 billion offsets fits on a server (I2GB)
- Searching the array is very fast, but it takes time to construct
- This time will be amortized over many, many searches
- Run it once "overnight" and save it away for all future queries


## Sorting

Quickly sort these numbers into ascending order:
14, 29, 6, 31, 39, 64, 78, 50, 13, 63, 61, 19
[How do you do it?]

```
6, I3, I4, 29, 3I, 39,64,78,50, 63, 6I, I9
6, I3, I4, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, 63, 6I, I9
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, 63, 6I
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, 63, 6I
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, 63, 6I
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3|, 39, 50, 64, 78, 63,6|
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 50, 6I, 64, 78, 63
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 50, 6I, 63, 64,78
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3|, 39, 50, 6|, 63, 64,78
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 50, 6I, 63, 64,78
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 50, 6I, 63, 64,78
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3|, 39, 50, 6|, 63, 64,78
```


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Selection_sort

## Selection Sort Analysis

- Selection Sort (Input: list of $n$ numbers)

```
for pos = I to n
    // find the smallest element in [pos, n]
    smallest = pos
    for check = pos+l to n
        if (list[check] < list[smallest]): smallest = check
```

// move the smallest element to the front
tmp $=$ list[smallest]
list[pos] = list[smallest]
list[smallest] $=\mathrm{tmp}$

- Analysis

$$
T=n+(n-1)+(n-2)+\cdots+3+2+1=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}=O\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

- Outer loop: pos $=I$ to $n$
- Inner loop: check = pos to n
- Running time: Outer * Inner $=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
[4.5 Billion Billion]
[Challenge Questions: Why is this slow? / Can we sort any faster?]


## Divide and Conquer

- Selection sort is slow because it rescans the entire list for each element
- How can we split up the unsorted list into independent ranges?
- Hint I: Binary search splits up the problem into 2 independent ranges (hi/lo)
- Hint 2: Assume we know the median value of a list

[How many times can we split a list in half?]


## QuickSort Analysis

- QuickSort(Input: list of $n$ numbers)
// see if we can quit
if (length(list)) <= I): return list
// split list into lo \& hi
pivot $=$ median(list)
lo $=\{ \} ;$ hi $=\{ \} ;$
for ( $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{I}$ to length(list))
if (list[i] < pivot): append(lo, list[i]) else:
append(hi, list[i])

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quicksort
// recurse on sublists
return (append(QuickSort(lo), QuickSort(hi))
- Analysis (Assume we can find the median in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(n)= \begin{cases}O(1) & \text { if } n \leq 1 \\
O(n)+2 T(n / 2) & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
& T(n)=n+2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+4\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)+\cdots+n\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lg (n)} \frac{2^{i} n}{2^{i}}=\sum_{i=0}^{\lg (n)} n=O(n \lg n) \tag{~94B}
\end{align*}
$$

## QuickSort Analysis

- QuickSort(Input: list of $n$ numbers)
// see if we can quit
if (length(list)) <= I): return list
// split list into lo \& hi
pivot $=$ median(list)
lo $=\{ \} ;$ hi $=\{ \} ;$
for ( $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{I}$ to length(list))
if (list[i] < pivot): append(lo, list[i]) else:
append(hi, list[i])

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quicksort
// recurse on sublists
return (append(QuickSort(lo), QuickSort(hi))
- Analysis (Assume we can find the median in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(n)= \begin{cases}O(1) & \text { if } n \leq 1 \\
O(n)+2 T(n / 2) & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
& T(n)=n+2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+4\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)+\cdots+n\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lg (n)} \frac{2^{i} n}{2^{i}}=\sum_{i=0}^{l g(n)} n=O(n \lg n) \tag{~94B}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2 minute break

## Quick recap

- Sequence Alignment
I. Brute Force Exact Matching - simple but slow

2. Suffix Arrays - very fast matching
3. Inexact Alignment -TODO
4. Bowtie-TODO

- Computational Thinking
I. Algorithm -"Formal" recipe, precise definition of problem

2. Data structure - Choices of how to represent data
3. Computational Analysis - Time, space requirements
4. Computational Modeling - Characterize expected results

## In-exact alignment

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?
- And how do we efficiently find them?
- It depends...
- Define 'approximately'
- Hamming Distance, Edit distance, or Sequence Similarity
- Ungapped vs Gapped vs Affine Gaps
- Global vs Local
- All positions or the single 'best'?
- Efficiency depends on the data characteristics \& goals
- Smith-Waterman: Exhaustive search for optimal alignments
- BLAST: Hash-table based homology searches
- Bowtie: BWT alignment for short read mapping


## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?

| I | $\mathbf{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
| G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match Score: I/7

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match Score: 7/7

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?

| $\mathbf{I}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\mathbf{I I}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match Score: I/7

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?

| $\mathbf{I}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\mathbf{I I}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |

Match Score: 6/7 <- We may be very interested in these imperfect matches Especially if there are no perfect end-to-end matches

## Hamming Distance

$\square$

- How many characters are different between the 2 strings?
- Minimum number of substitutions required to change transform $A$ into $B$
- Traditionally defined for end-to-end comparisons
- Here end-to-end (global) for query, partial (local) for reference
- Find all occurrences of GATTACA with Hamming Distance $\leq$ I
- Find all occurrences with minimal Hamming Distance [What is the running time of a brute force approach?]


## Seed-and-Extend Alignment

Theorem: An alignment of a sequence of length $m$ with at most $k$ differences must contain an exact match at least $s=m /(k+l)$ bp long
(Baeza-Yates and Perleberg, I996)

- Proof: Pigeonhole principle
- I pigeon can't fill 2 holes
- Seed-and-extend search
- Use an index to rapidly find short exact alignments to seed longer in-exact alignments - BLAST, MUMmer, Bowtie, BWA, SOAP, ...
- Specificity of the depends on seed length

- Guaranteed sensitivity for $k$ differences
- Also finds some (but not all) lower quality alignments <- heuristic


# Bowtie: Ultrafast and memory efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome 

Slides Courtesy of Ben Langmead<br>(langmead@umiacs.umd.edu)

## Burrows-Wheeler Transform



- Suffix Array is tight, but much larger than genome
- BWT is a reversible permutation of the genome based on the suffix array
- Core index for Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009) and most recent short read mapping applications
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## BWT Short Read Mapping

- Seed-and-extend search of the BWT
I. If we fail to reach the end, back-track and resume search

2. The beginning of the read is used as high confidence seed
3. BWT enables searching for good end-to-end matches entirely in RAM
I. 100s of times faster than competing approaches

- Report the "best" n alignments
I. Best = smallest hamming distance, possibly weighted by QV

2. Some reads will have millions of equally good mapping positions
3. If reads are paired, try to find mapping that satisfies both

## Algorithms Summary

- Algorithms choreograph the dance of data inside the machine
- Algorithms add provable precision to your method
- A smarter algorithm can solve the same problem with much less work
- Techniques
- Analysis: Characterize performance, correctness
- Modeling: Characterize what you expect to see
- Binary search: Fast lookup in any sorted list
- Divide-and-conquer: Split a hard problem into an easier problem
- Recursion: Solve a problem using a function of itself
- Indexing: Focus on just the important parts
- Seed-and-extend:Anchor the problem using a portion of it


## Challenge Question

Using Bowtie (bowtie -v 0 -a --norc) or your own implementation of the brute force algorithm, scan the E. coli KI2/MGI 655 genome for GATTACA:

## http://schatzlab.cshl.edu/teaching/201 I/Ecoli.fa

http://schatzlab.cshl.edu/teaching/201 I/GATTACA.fq.

Compute the number of occurrences for each of the following queries, and the degree to which the empirical number of matches is consistent with the theoretical e-value. Point out any particularly significant deviations from the theoretical model.

| Gattaca: | GATTACA |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gattaca^2: | GATTACAGATTACA |
| Gattaca^3: | GATTACAGATTACAGATTACA |
| Start Codon: | ATG |
| Stop Codons: | TAG,TAA,TGA |

## Thank You!

http://schatzlab.cshl.edu

## Picking the Median

- What if we miss the median and do a $90 / 10$ split instead?



$\square$
[How many times can we cut $10 \%$ off a list?]


## Randomized Quicksort

- $90 / 10$ split runtime analysis
$T(n)=n+T\left(\frac{n}{10}\right)+T\left(\frac{9 n}{10}\right)$
Find smallest x s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(n)=n+\frac{n}{10}+T\left(\frac{n}{100}\right)+T\left(\frac{9 n}{100}\right)+\frac{9 n}{10}+T\left(\frac{9 n}{100}\right)+T\left(\frac{81 n}{100}\right) \\
& T(n)=n+n+T\left(\frac{n}{100}\right)+2 T\left(\frac{9 n}{100}\right)+T\left(\frac{81 n}{100}\right) \\
& T(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{\log _{10 / 9}(n)} n=O(n \lg n)
\end{aligned}
$$

- If we randomly pick a pivot, we will get at least a 90/IO split with very high probability
- Everything is okay as long as we always slice off a fraction of the list
[Challenge Question:What happens if we slice I

